Marx on Liberal Capitalism and Bourgeois Dictatorship and its Contradiction – a Mass Gathering or Spontaneity which is with a Party – The Revolution is a Fused Mass at Some Point in the Nation – Why this then is Revolution and not a Liberal Daily Capitalism – What is the Point of Distinction, and why Revolution is Immanent to the Party's Dynamics – What Fails the Revolution is A Lack of Revolutionary Protest – and that is Because of the Demise of the Party – A Party Requires only an Intellectual Leadership – Why that is General Intellect in the Communist Mode of Production.

By Karl Marx, (Fidel Castro Ruz and Ernesto Guevara as Introduction)

Introduction – Fidel, 1967

I argue that there is an ongoing revolution in Cuba and the world, with Che developing his praxis in Latin America and developing bases in the Bolivian region. This will fail he argues but is just that placement that develops the Latin American History. I argue just the practice of foquismo will liberate the masses, even its failure will lead to the victory of the left in permanence – what Sartre calls a fused group is that minimal subjective condition, which in the long run will develop a praxis called leftist because it is part of its history – by which I mean developing its history from this point on.

Introduction – Che, 2024

I again insist that we re-read my participation in the Cuban revolution as also Latin American revolution by a minimal subjective condition which leads to a praxis, which had an anti-praxis which attacked me, but then afterwards, led to the development of a new progressive synthesis of Cochabamba protests because it was the retroactive development of this history in the sense of how I was responsible for its first history, and the second history developed out of it and such a number of developments called totalisation after totalisation, with a dreg of the praxis in its history as a previous totalisation. I mean this praxis is then in the last totalisation a re-figuration of the first as if a man first ran, failed the bus and then others get on the bus and totalize it to victory, now the man who first failed began the run, and this is progressive-regressive. It is like Sirohi argues, the meaning of the foco.

Karl Marx – On The Party

I mean of course all we need is an active party, which converts to a fused party and this will lead to dynamics which even if they were once an active fused party is all that matters – which then becomes general mass agitation, and mass spontaneous action, which has to be party oriented

mass dynamics which will lead to revolution. Now failures of the party are fine, but not its demise. I mean that finally a agitation can be a revolution, even a simple strike can be a revolution, if it has general intellection – intellection is the act of concretely placing the idea of a contradiction – what is the difference between intellection and failure – its abstractness, that I call it a contradiction without intellection that it is a peasant contradiction or working class contradiction – this then is the measure of a party – that it understands the intellection of contradiction and only that. It can be even that petit-bourgeois knowledge – mass gathering, and this if fused with the Trade Union or Agrarian Union means revolution has won.